

Clinical evaluation

Discussion

The classic two-stage Brånemark procedure, which was developed at the beginning of the 1960s, was the safest approach. This treatment modality was well documented in several long term studies (Adell et al 1981, Albrektsson et al 1986, Brånemark et al 1977) and considered the safest approach. During the last decade, trying to satisfy the increased demand of a more rapid treatment and to reduce the discomfort, during the healing period, the immediate loading protocol has been tested with many clinical trials. The immediate loading procedure has become a routine in the treatment of totally or partially edentulous patients and permits delivery of provisional fixed restorations the same day of the implant placement (Barzilay 1993, Gapski et al 2003, Glauser et al 2001a, Hahn 2000, Lorenzoni et al 2003, Misch et al 2004a, Misch et al 2004b). Several studies documented the success of this protocol when implants were placed in healed bony sites and even when they were immediately placed in fresh extraction sockets (Chen et al 2004, Schwartz-Arad and Chaushu 1997).

A number of factors may influence the results of immediate implant loading. These could be related to the surgical procedure, patient, implant design and occlusion-related factors. Surgical factors consist of primary implant stability and surgical technique. Patient factors comprise the quality and quantity of bone, wound healing, and systemic conditions. Implant factors include macro and micro-design, surface textures, and dimensions of the implant. Occlusal factors involve the quality and quantity of force and prosthetic design (Gapski et al 2003, Misch et al 2004a, Misch et al 2004b, Zahran 2007).

The high successful results (98.8%) of the present study illustrated that the new generation of OsteoCare™ Midi one-piece implants present the opportunity to provide patients with a minimally invasive, less costly, less complicated and less surgically intensive treatment. The successful results were achieved when the Midi implants were placed in both healed bony sites and fresh extraction sockets.

In this study, all the 84 Midi implants attained high initial stability over 30N/cm due to their conical design, buttress threads and roughened surface (grit-blasted and acid-etched). Furthermore, under-dimensioned drilling using only one profile drill together with the bone condensing property of the Midi implants increased initial stability.

It was reported that conical implant design in combination with the use of an undersized form drill could lead to higher initial stability than conventional implants (Barzilay 1993, O'Sullivan et al 2000, Sakoh et al 2006). Experimental and

| | Patients | Age (range) | Age (mean) | Female | Male | No. of Implants |
|-------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|------|-----------------|
| Healed bony sites | 35 | 20-68 | 43 | 17 | 18 | 57 |
| Extraction sites | 13 | 38-72 | 55 | 8 | 5 | 27 |
| Total | 48 | 20-72 | 46 | 25 | 23 | 84 |

Table 1: Overview of clinical data of patients and number of implants included in the study

| | ø3.3mm | 3.3x13mm | 3.3x16mm | ø3.8mm | 3.8x13mm | 3.8x16mm |
|-------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|
| Healed bony sites | 9 | 7 | 2 | 48 | 40 | 8 |
| Extraction sites | 7 | 5 | 2 | 20 | 15 | 5 |

Table 2: Implant diameter ø (mm) and length (mm)

clinical studies have shown that the implant surface roughness and the thread design are major factors in achieving rapid and successful osseointegration which influence the procedure of immediate loading (Stanford, 2002).

The flapless transmucosal procedure for placement of the Mini and Midi implants resulted in minimal swelling or pain and no occurrence of haematoma in the patients requiring minimal postoperative medication. It was reported that flapless surgery also admits a maintained better blood supply to the marginal bone, thus reducing the likelihood of bone resorption (Al-Ansari and Morris 1998, Becker et al 2005, Fortin et al 2006, Hahn 2000, Zahran 2007).

Although flapless implant placement is considered a blind surgical procedure, there is a learning curve with every surgical procedure, after which it becomes routine. There are many advantages for the patient as well as for the surgeon, since the procedure is less time consuming, bleeding is minimal, implant placement is expedited, and there is no need to place and remove sutures (Hahn 2000).

In this study, the immediately loaded provisional restorations were kept out of occlusal stresses to avoid high magnitude of forces and cycles. This conservative approach of reducing stresses resulted in an enhanced outcome. (Gapski et al 2003, Misch et al 2004a, Misch et al 2004b).

The one-piece implant design eliminates the need for placing healing collars and makes it possible to avoid manipulation of the soft tissue portion after initial healing. The implant-abutment junction in a two-piece implant design constitutes a structural weakness that may complicate the procedure (Hahn 2005). The results of this study showed that the Midi implants are indicated for both single and multiple tooth restorations, where immediate loading is pos-

sible in healed bony sites or for the immediate post-extraction approaches.

Conclusion

The new and innovative OsteoCare™ Midi one-piece (post type) implants provide excellent clinical performance with immediate loading in healed bony sites as well as in fresh extraction sockets. These implants have a number of distinct features that set them apart from their conventional counterparts. They allow for atraumatic flapless transmucosal placement, as well as same day delivery of single or multiple tooth provisional restorations.

References

- Adell R, Lekholm U, Rockler B, Brånemark P-I (1981). A 15-year study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. *Int J Oral Surgery* 10: 387–416
- Al-Ansari B H, Morris RR (1998). Placement of dental implants without flap surgery: A clinical report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1998; 13:861-865
- Albrektsson T, Zarb G, Worthington P, Eriksson AR (1986). The long-term efficacy of currently used dental implants: a review and proposed criteria for success. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1:11–25
- Attard NJ, Zarb GA. Immediate and early implant loading protocols: A literature review of clinical studies. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2005;94:242–248
- Becker W, Goldstein M, Becker BE, Sennerby L. Minimally invasive flapless implant surgery: A prospective multicentre study. *Clin Implant Dent Rel Res* 2005; 7(suppl 1): 1-7

| Area | Maxillary Anterior | Mandibular Anterior | Maxillary Premolar | Mandibular Premolar | Maxillary Molar | Mandibular Molar | Total |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------|
| Healed bony sites | 18 | 7 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 57 |
| Extraction sites | 6 | 16 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 27 |
| Total | 24 | 23 | 19 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 84 |

Table 3: Implant position

Barzilay I. Immediate implants: their current status. *Int J Prosthodont* 1993; 6(2):169–75

Brånemark P-I, Hansson B O, Adell R, Breine U, Lindström J, Hallen O, Öhman A. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. Experience from a 10-year period. *Scandinavian J Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery* 1977; 16: 1-132

Chen ST, Wilson TG Jr, Hammerle CH. Immediate or early placement of implants following tooth extraction: review of biologic basis, clinical procedures, and outcomes. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2004; 19 (Suppl):12–25

De Vasconcellos DK, Bottino MA, Saad PA. A new device in immediately loaded implant treatment in the edentulous mandible. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2006; 21:615-622.

Dhanrajani PJ, Al-Rafee MA. Single-tooth implant restorations: A retrospective study. *Implant Dent*. 2005; 94:125–130.

Fortin T, Bosson JL, Isidori M, Blanchet E. Effect of flapless surgery on pain experienced in implant placement using an image-guided system. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2006; 21:298-304

Gapski R, Wang HL, Mascarenhas P, Mascarenhas P, Lang NP. Critical review of immediate implant loading. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2003; 14:515-527

Glauser R, Ree A, Lundgren A, Gottlow J, Hammerle CH, Scharer P. Immediate occlusal loading of Branemark implants applied in various jawbone regions: A prospective, 1-year clinical study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2001a; 3:204-213

Hahn J. Single-stage, immediate loading, and flapless surgery. *J Oral Implantol* 2000; 26: 193-198

Hahn J. One-piece root-form implants: A return to simplicity. *J Oral Implantol* 2005; 31:77-84

Jones AA, Cochran DL (2006). Consequences of

implant design. *Dent Clin N Am* 50:339-360
 Kan JYK, Rungcharassaeng K, Ojano M, Goodacre CJ. Flapless anterior implant surgery: A surgical and prosthodontic rationale. *Pract Periodont Aesthet Dent* 2000; 12: 467-474

Linkow LI, Miller RJ. Immediate loading of endosseous implants is not new. *J Oral Implantol* 2004; 30:314-317

Lorenzoni M, Pertl C, Zhang K, Wimmer G, Wegscheider WA. Immediate loading of single-tooth implants in the anterior maxilla. Preliminary results after one year. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2003; 14:180–187

Misch CE, Hahn J, Judy KW, Lemons JE, Linkow LI, Lozada JL, Mills E, Misch CM, Salama H, Sharawy M, Testori T, Wang HL. Workshop guidelines on immediate loading in implant dentistry. *J Oral Implantol* 2004; 30:283-288

Misch CE, Misch CM, Sharawy M, Lemons J, Judy KW. Rational for application of immediate load in implant dentistry: Part II. *Implant Dent* 2004; 13:310-321

O'Sullivan D, Sennerby L, Meredith N. Measurements comparing the initial stability of five designs of dental implants: A human cadaver study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2000; 2:85-92

Randow K, Ericsson I, Nilner K, Petersson A, Glantz PO. Immediate functional loading of Branemark dental implants. An 18-month clinical follow-up study. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 1999; 10:8–15

Romanos GE. Present status of immediate loading of oral implants. *J Oral Implantol* 2004; 30:189-197

Romanos GE, Toh CG, Siar CH, Swaminathan D, Ong AH, Donath K, Yaacob H, Nentwig GH. Peri-implant bone reactions to immediately loaded implants. An experimental study in monkeys. *J Periodontol* 2001; 72:506-511.

Sagara M, Akagawa Y, Nikai H, Tsuru H. The effects of early occlusal loading on one-stage ti-

tanium alloy implants in beagle dogs: A pilot study. *J Prosth Dent* 1993 69:281-288

Sakoh J, Wahlmann U, Stender E, Al-Nawas B, Wagner W. Primary stability of a conical implant and a hybrid, cylindrical screw-type implant in vitro. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2006; 21:560-566.

Schwartz-Arad D, Chaushu G. The ways and wherefores of immediate placement of implants into fresh extraction sites: a literature review. *J Periodontol* 1997;68(10):915–23

Stanford CM. Surface modifications of implants. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin N Am* 2002; 14:39-51

Testori T, Del Fabbro M, Galli F, Francetti L, Weinstein R. Immediate occlusal loading of the same day after implant placement: Comparison of 2 different time frames in totally edentulous lower jaws. *J Oral Implantol* 2004; 30:307-313

Tramonte S. A further report on intra-osseous implants with improved drive screws. *The Journal of Implant and Transplant surgery* 1965; 11: 35-37

Tsirlis AT. Clinical evaluation of immediate loaded upper anterior single implants. *Implant Dent*. 2005; 14:94–103

Wang HL, Ormianer Z, Palti A, Perel ML, Trisi P, Sarmartino G (2006). Consensus conference on immediate loading: The single tooth and partial edentulous areas. *Implant Dent* 15:324–333

Yoo RH, Chuang SK, Erakat MS, Weed M, Dodson TB. Changes in crestal bone level for immediately loaded implants. *Int J Oral and Maxillofac Implants* 2006; 21: 253-261

Zahran A. Clinical evaluation of the OsteoCare™s Mini and Midi implants for immediate loading of mandibular overdentures. *Implant Dentistry Today* 2008, 2(1): 54-59

Zahran A, Gauld J. Gauld's technique: Clinical innovation of flapless placement of self-tapping implants with the aid of osteotomes in the posterior maxilla. A case report. *Egyptian Dental Journal*, 2007, 53: 2297: 2304